

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COAMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.tuplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 03/30/2001 Etik Adolfsson 4013-0158P 1414 09/806,455 09/09/2003 2292 7590 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH EXAMINER **PO BOX 747** FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1731

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/806,455	ADOLFSSON ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 123	lune 2003 .	
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/806,455

Art Unit: 1731

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-9 and 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hermansson et al. (5,306,673) in view of Sugimura et al. (5,096,450) for the reasons as set forth

in the previous office action.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/12/03 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

In view of applicants' remarks, the rejection over Heide et al. (4,309,488) has been

withdrawn.

With respect to the 103 rejection over Hermansson et al. in view of Sugimura et al.

applicants argue:

Application/Control Number: 09/806,455

Art Unit: 1731

Hermansson teach the use of HIP, but says nothing about the precise conditions of temperature in the HIP process. Applicants believe that at the time the Hermansson disclosure was formulated, nothing was known about the risk of decomposition. Applicants point out that the encasing in glass as cited by the Examiner does not mean that a pressure is applied to the capsule as required by the claims herein as amended. It is noted also that "applying of a pressure" in claim 1 as amended means a deliberate application of an external pressure, not to be confused with an increase of pressure in a closed capsule due to a rise in temperature.

This argument is not persuasive. It is submitted that applicants' characterization of the hot isostatic pressing process is inaccurate. In hot isostatic pressing, pressure is not increased in a closed capsule due to a rise in temperature. Rather, the glass capsule is heated and pressure is applied thereto to apply pressure to the material to be densified. See *Ceramic Technology and Processing* by King which is cited of interest. See particularly page 314.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ceramic Technology and Processing by King is cited to elaborate on hot isostatic pressing as taught in the primary reference.

- 6. Claims 10 and 11 are allowed.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/806,455

Art Unit: 1731

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

Ca Fr